



Beyond Silos: Reframing Mental Health, Trauma and Partnership Across Criminal Justice

Professor Charlie Brooker, Royal Holloway London, Dr Andrew Fowler, Sheffield Hallam University, Dr Vickie Barrett, University of Huddersfield & Dr Stephanie Wallace, University of Lancaster

Welcome to this special issue of the *British Journal of Community Justice* on 'Probation and mental illness'.

For much of the past three decades, research at the intersection of criminal justice and mental health has documented a remarkably consistent set of findings. People who come into contact with prisons, probation and community justice services experience disproportionately high levels of mental illness, substance misuse, trauma, neurodevelopmental disorders and social disadvantage. At the same time, criminal justice agencies have increasingly been expected to respond to these needs while continuing to fulfil their primary responsibilities of public protection and reducing reoffending. Despite considerable policy development, many of the structural challenges identified twenty or thirty years ago remain strikingly familiar today. Individuals continue to move between prison, probation, healthcare and community services through fragmented pathways, information sharing remains inconsistent, service thresholds frequently create barriers to access, and practitioners are often required to navigate increasingly complex cases within overstretched systems.

The papers brought together in this issue offer a timely opportunity to reflect on how the field has evolved. Although the studies originate from different countries, adopt different methodologies and focus on different populations, they converge around a common message: improving outcomes for justice-involved people requires systems that are integrated rather than fragmented, relationships that are collaborative rather than transactional, and approaches that recognise mental health, trauma and social disadvantage as central—not peripheral—to criminal justice practice. Collectively, these papers move beyond identifying problems towards exploring practical solutions capable of reshaping the relationship between criminal justice and health.

Perhaps the most striking theme emerging across this collection is the importance of partnership. It is now widely accepted that no single organisation can effectively meet the complex needs of people supervised by probation or released from custody. Yet the practical realities of inter-agency working frequently fall short of policy aspirations. The systematic review of continuity of care for prisoners with serious mental illness demonstrates that, internationally, transitions from prison to the community remain characterised by fragmented care pathways and inconsistent engagement with mental health services. Despite compelling evidence that continuity of care improves health outcomes and may reduce reoffending, many individuals continue to lose contact with services precisely at the point when vulnerability is greatest. Release from custody represents not simply a change in location but a transition between systems, and it is during these transitions that institutional fragmentation becomes most visible.

This concern with transitions is echoed in Bates and colleagues' description of an innovative partnership between an NHS Mental Health Trust and probation services in Berkshire. Their paper demonstrates that relatively modest organisational changes can have a disproportionate impact upon practice. The introduction of an escalation pathway, supported by experienced Nurse Consultants, enabled probation practitioners to challenge declined referrals, improve communication with mental health services and develop greater confidence in navigating complex clinical systems. Importantly, the project did not depend upon substantial new investment or wholesale structural reform. Instead, it recognised that many barriers arise because organisations work alongside rather than with one another. By creating

opportunities for dialogue, shared learning and mutual understanding, the pilot illustrates how relationships themselves become an intervention capable of improving outcomes for individuals while strengthening public protection.

These themes of partnership and integration are complemented by the paper examining the role of Approved Premises. Often viewed simply as accommodation for high-risk individuals leaving custody, Approved Premises emerge in this issue as far more than transitional housing. They function as a critical interface between prison, probation, healthcare and community services, providing structured environments where risk management, rehabilitation and health support can be coordinated. The paper demonstrates how multidisciplinary working within Approved Premises creates opportunities to identify mental health needs, support engagement with treatment, stabilise accommodation and strengthen community reintegration during one of the highest-risk periods following release. By bringing together housing, supervision, healthcare referrals and everyday practical support, Approved Premises embody many of the principles that underpin the wider themes of this issue: continuity of care, collaborative working and shared responsibility across organisational boundaries. Their contribution reminds us that effective rehabilitation depends not only on specialist interventions but also on the environments and partnerships that enable individuals to access them.

A similar philosophy underpins the PREVENT project, which extends these ideas beyond local partnerships to a European level. PREVENT starts from an uncomfortable but unavoidable reality: justice systems across Europe continue to supervise large numbers of people experiencing serious mental illness, trauma, substance misuse and social exclusion, yet the organisations responsible for supporting them frequently operate independently of one another. Rather than proposing another stand-alone intervention, PREVENT seeks to strengthen the interfaces between probation, prisons, healthcare providers, social care organisations and community agencies. Its emphasis upon workforce development, suicide prevention, mental health literacy and institutional collaboration reflects an understanding that improving outcomes depends upon the quality of relationships between systems as much as the quality of individual services. This systems perspective represents one of the most significant developments within contemporary criminal justice research and is reflected repeatedly throughout this issue.

Closely connected to partnership is a second theme: the growing recognition that trauma should inform how criminal justice services understand both offending behaviour and professional practice. During the past decade, trauma-informed care has moved from being a relatively specialised concept to becoming a central component of justice policy. Nevertheless, evidence describing how trauma-informed approaches operate in community settings remains comparatively limited. The evaluation of trauma-informed care within youth justice therefore makes an important contribution by exploring how staff, young people and families experienced implementation. Rather than presenting trauma-informed practice as a checklist of interventions, the findings highlight relational practice, trust, repair and reflective supervision as the foundations upon which effective engagement is built. Equally important are the challenges identified by practitioners, including role ambiguity, organisational pressures and the difficulty of embedding trauma-informed principles within systems that continue to prioritise procedural performance measures.

The accompanying paper reflecting upon emotionally demanding research extends the concept of trauma beyond service users themselves. Researchers working with survivors of domestic abuse, perpetrators of violence and marginalised populations describe the emotional labour involved in conducting sensitive qualitative research and the often-overlooked psychological consequences of repeated exposure to traumatic narratives. Their reflections remind us that the pursuit of knowledge is not emotionally neutral. The wellbeing of researchers, like that of practitioners, deserves greater recognition within research governance and institutional support structures. Together, these papers broaden our understanding of trauma by demonstrating that it permeates criminal justice systems in multiple directions, affecting those who receive services, those who deliver them and those who seek to understand them.

The wellbeing of professionals emerges as a third important theme running across this collection. Criminal justice agencies increasingly recognise that practitioner wellbeing is not simply an occupational health issue but a determinant of service quality. The study examining stress among community supervision officers provides important evidence in this regard. Although officers supervising specialised mental health caseloads were not found to experience higher overall stress than colleagues managing standard caseloads, higher stress levels were associated with poorer implementation fidelity. In other words, practitioner wellbeing directly influenced the quality of specialist supervision being delivered. This finding reinforces a growing body of evidence suggesting that staff resilience, supervision and organisational culture should be viewed as integral components of effective rehabilitation rather than secondary workforce considerations.

PREVENT reinforces this argument by recognising frontline staff as one of the project's primary beneficiaries. The proposal acknowledges that probation practitioners, prison officers and community professionals routinely encounter

suicide, self-harm, acute mental illness and crisis situations while often receiving limited specialist training. By positioning workforce development alongside service-user interventions, PREVENT implicitly recognises that healthier organisations are more likely to produce better outcomes for the people they supervise. This represents an important shift in emphasis. Historically, discussions about criminal justice reform have focused almost exclusively upon offenders. Increasingly, however, attention is turning towards the professionals responsible for delivering that reform and the organisational environments within which they work.

A fourth theme concerns the growing acceptance of public health approaches to offending and rehabilitation. For many years, criminal justice policy and health policy have developed in parallel, occasionally intersecting but rarely becoming fully integrated. Irwin argues persuasively that probation already occupies this intersection. Practitioners routinely supervise individuals whose offending is shaped by mental illness, addiction, homelessness, trauma, unemployment and poor physical health. These factors rarely occur independently; rather, they interact to create cycles of vulnerability that conventional criminal justice interventions alone cannot resolve. Viewing reoffending through a public health lens therefore represents not an expansion of probation's role but a more accurate description of the work practitioners already undertake. Probation does not become a healthcare service; instead, it becomes an essential partner within wider systems designed to improve health, reduce exclusion and promote social reintegration.

This broader understanding of rehabilitation is reflected elsewhere in the issue. The Turkish study examining parenting attitudes among men on probation who use illegal substances illustrates how education, perceived social support and wider social circumstances influence rehabilitation outcomes. Although the anticipated relationship between social support and parenting attitudes was not demonstrated, the findings nevertheless reinforce the importance of addressing broader social determinants rather than focusing solely upon offending behaviour. Similarly, studies examining continuity of care repeatedly identify housing, employment, substance misuse treatment and social integration as factors shaping successful reintegration. Rehabilitation, these papers remind us, is fundamentally a social process.

What ultimately distinguishes this collection is its emphasis upon practical optimism. None of these papers underestimate the complexity of contemporary criminal justice. They acknowledge constrained resources, organisational pressures, workforce shortages and increasingly complex caseloads. Yet neither do they accept fragmentation as inevitable. Instead, they describe practical examples of partnership working, trauma-informed practice, workforce development and public health approaches that are already demonstrating promise across different jurisdictions.

Perhaps the most important contribution of this issue is its challenge to traditional boundaries. Historically, criminal justice and mental healthcare have often been conceptualised as separate systems with different priorities and cultures. The papers presented here suggest that this distinction has become increasingly artificial. Decisions taken within one system inevitably influence outcomes within another. Mental health affects public protection. Housing affects rehabilitation. Workforce wellbeing affects service quality. Information sharing affects risk management. None of these challenges can be addressed in isolation.

As criminal justice systems across Europe and beyond continue to move towards greater use of community sentences, diversion, rehabilitation and community-based treatment, these issues will become even more important. The complexity of community supervision is likely to increase rather than diminish. Consequently, the quality of collaboration between probation, healthcare, social care and community organisations will become an increasingly important determinant of both individual outcomes and public safety.

Taken together, the papers in this issue point towards a future in which effective criminal justice is measured not solely by enforcement or compliance, but by the capacity of organisations to work together around individuals whose needs transcend institutional boundaries. They demonstrate that the most significant innovations are often not new technologies or new programmes but new relationships, new conversations and new ways of sharing responsibility. Ultimately, they remind us that improving mental health, reducing reoffending and strengthening public protection are not competing objectives. They are different expressions of the same ambition: creating justice systems that are collaborative, humane and capable of responding to the complex realities of people's lives.